

EXHIBIT 16

-----Original Message-----

From: Reines, Edward
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 2:37 PM
To: Scott, Katie <Katie.Scott@arnoldporter.com>
Cc: Walter, Derek <derek.walter@weil.com>; Sklenar, Jennifer <Jennifer.Sklenar@arnoldporter.com>
Subject: RE: Illumina v. BGI

Katie, I had another idea to try avoid dispute. Will BGI agree not to introduce sequencers before 2021. Don't take that as acquiescence, but it would allow time for a routine length discovery period. Please advise. You can always give me a call. Thanks, Ed

Edward Reines
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065-1134
edward.reines@weil.com
+1 650 802 3022 Direct
+1 646 248 4331 Mobile

-----Original Message-----

From: Reines, Edward
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 6:35 PM
To: 'Scott, Katie' <Katie.Scott@arnoldporter.com>
Cc: Walter, Derek <derek.walter@weil.com>; Sklenar, Jennifer <Jennifer.Sklenar@arnoldporter.com>
Subject: RE: Illumina v. BGI

Katie, thank you very much for getting back to us today. We will bring our motion seeking the discovery we identified.
Best, Ed

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott, Katie <Katie.Scott@arnoldporter.com>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 5:41 PM
To: Reines, Edward <edward.reines@weil.com>
Cc: Walter, Derek <Derek.Walter@weil.com>; Sklenar, Jennifer <Jennifer.Sklenar@arnoldporter.com>
Subject: RE: Illumina v. BGI

Ed,

We have considered your proposal for expedited discovery on the topics you provided and have determined that we will not agree to provide responses on an expedited basis. Illumina has not and could not have alleged in its complaint that (i) defendants are selling any sequencers in the U.S., (ii) defendants are providing any commercial sequencing services using one of Defendants' sequencers in the United States, or (iii) defendants possess any immediate plans to do (i) or (ii). We thus do not agree that Illumina has a Rule 11 basis for seeking expedited discovery or a preliminary injunction and submit that the parties should proceed with this case according to the standard schedule and local patent rules. If you disagree, please provide the basis of your request as well as any case law that you believe supports the requested relief.

Best regards,
Katie

-----Original Message-----

From: Reines, Edward <edward.reines@weil.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 1:59 PM
To: Scott, Katie <Katie.Scott@arnoldporter.com>
Cc: Walter, Derek <Derek.Walter@weil.com>; Sklenar, Jennifer <Jennifer.Sklenar@arnoldporter.com>
Subject: Re: Illumina v. BGI

External E-mail

Katie, thanks for your note. We look forward to your response. Best, Ed

> On Jul 18, 2019, at 2:49 PM, Scott, Katie <Katie.Scott@arnoldporter.com> wrote:

>
> Ed,
>
> Thank you for providing this list of discovery requests for our consideration. We will endeavor to get back to you with a response tomorrow, but may need until Monday.
>

> Best regards,
> Katie
>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Reines, Edward <edward.reines@weil.com>
> Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2019 11:28 AM
> To: Scott, Katie <Katie.Scott@arnoldporter.com>
> Cc: Walter, Derek <Derek.Walter@weil.com>; Sklenar, Jennifer <Jennifer.Sklenar@arnoldporter.com>
> Subject: Illumina v. BGI
>

> **External E-mail**

>
> Katie, thank you for your note. Assuming we can agree to a discovery plan, the below is the discovery we seek. Given how focused our requests are, the process we request would be an agreement to produce these items, not an elaborate Rule 33 or 34 process. If you have issues with these requests, let me know by the end of the week so we can work through them together. We would anticipate a document production in a matter of a couple, few weeks, but that depends on what you agree to produce and your explanation of what time you need. We want to do this cooperatively. And as noted, if you plan to file Rule 12 motions, as warranted we may need to add requests. If we work through these issues cooperatively, we can hold off on the issue of depositions for now.
>

>>
>> From: Scott, Katie
>> Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 10:41 AM
>> To: Reines, Edward
>> Cc: Walter, Derek; Sklenar, Jennifer
>> Subject: Illumina v. BGI - extension of time and expedited discovery
>>
>> Dear Ed,
>> Thank you for your thoughts yesterday regarding the stipulation to extend time (including your agreement to at least a week extension to respond), and your explanation of the expedited discovery that Illumina is considering.
>>
>> We discussed your proposal for expedited discovery with our client, but are finding it difficult to have an informed discussion (much less agree to something) without knowing specifically what the scope of the requests would be. We therefore ask that Illumina provide drafts of the discovery requests and proposed timing for such requests and responses.
>>
>> Also, having further considered the proposed expedited discovery, we see no need to tie the defendants' deadline to respond to the Complaint to the schedule for any expedited discovery. Thus, we ask that Illumina agree to an extension to August 21 (33 days for BGI Genomics and 30 days for the other 4 defendants) given that this is typical in this district and that CGI agreed to the same extension for Illumina in the Delaware case. We are amenable to including in the stipulation that such extension would not be used against Illumina with respect to any opposition to a motion for expedited discovery or opposition to any motion for PI. A revised draft of the stipulation is attached for your consideration.
>>
>> Best regards,
>> Katie
>>
>> _____
>> Katie Scott
>> Counsel
>>
>> Arnold & Porter
>> 3000 El Camino Real
>> Five Palo Alto Square | Suite 500
>> Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
>> T: +1 650.319.4529 | F: +1 650.319.4929
>> Katie.Scott@arnoldporter.com<mailto:Katie.Scott@arnoldporter.com> |
>> www.arnoldporter.com
>>
>>
>> _____
>> This communication may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who receives this message in error should notify the sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete it from his or her computer.
>> _____
>> For more information about Arnold & Porter, click [here](#):
>> <http://www.arnoldporter.com>
>> <2019-07-16 DRAFT Stipulation to Extend Time to
>> Respond_(US_165242275_2).DOCX>
>
> _____

>

> The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email, postmaster@weil.com, and destroy the original message. Thank you.

>

>

> This communication may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who receives this message in error should notify the sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete it from his or her computer.

>

> For more information about Arnold & Porter, click here:

> <http://www.arnoldporter.com>

This communication may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who receives this message in error should notify the sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete it from his or her computer.

For more information about Arnold & Porter, click here:

<http://www.arnoldporter.com>